

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>ELIZABETH HARVEY</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION – LAW</b>
	:	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
	:	
<b>PLAINS TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPT., et al.</b>	:	<b>JUDGE CAPUTO</b>
	:	
<b>Defendants.</b>	:	<b>NO. 3:01-CV-1768</b>

**SPECIAL VERDICT QUESTIONS**

**NOW COMES**, the Plaintiff, Elizabeth Harvey by her attorneys THE LOFTUS LAW FIRM, P.C. and submits the following Special Verdict Questions:

1. Did the Defendant violate the Plaintiff's rights under the Constitution by engaging in an illegal search and seizure?

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yes

\_\_\_\_\_  
No

2. Was the Plaintiff denied procedural due process by not having been given a right to be heard prior to seizure of her property?

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yes

\_\_\_\_\_  
No

If you answered yes to either question 1 or 2, proceed to question 3.

3. Has the Plaintiff proven by a fair preponderance of evidence that she sustained emotional distress as a result of the Defendant's conduct?

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yes

\_\_\_\_\_  
No

4. Has the Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered a loss of property as a result of the illegal conduct?

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yes

\_\_\_\_\_  
No

If the answer is yes, what was the amount? \$ \_\_\_\_\_

5. Has the Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that she is entitled to damages for emotional distress, pain & suffering, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life, etc...

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yes

\_\_\_\_\_  
No

If the answer is yes, how much? \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

6. Has the Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence the conduct of the Defendant was so egregious and outrageous that punitive damages should be awarded to the Plaintiff?

\_\_\_\_\_  
Yes

\_\_\_\_\_  
No

If the answer is yes, what amount? \$ \_\_\_\_\_.